

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,571	06/24/2005	Naoe Sakurai	272232US0PCT	6457
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, THUY-AI N	
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		•			
A 31		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/540,571	SAKURAI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thuyai N. Nguyen	1796		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO ; - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 June 2005</u> .				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims	•			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o				
Application	on Papers				
	he specification is objected to by the Examine				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate		

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :06/24/2005,04/21/2006,05/04/2007.

10/540,571 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai

Hisae et al. (JP. 11-180855) in view of Briggs et al. (US. 5,858,340), (see English translation of

JP. 11-180855 for citation).

Regarding claim 1, Sakurai Hisae et al. teach a detergent composition comprising an anionic surfactant and trimethylglycine (abstract), wherein the ratio of the component A over B is 3.5/1 (compare the product in Table 1). Sakurai Hisae et al. also teach the composition being diluted with purified water (see examples [0050-0053]).

Sakurai Hisae et al. do not teach the composition specific range of the pH. Briggs et al. teach the cosmetic composition having the pH of from 4 to 9 (col. 7: 30 - 34) within the range as set forth by the applicant. Sakurai Hisae et al. and Briggs et al. are analogous art because they are in the same field of endeavor; namely, providing skin care composition. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Briggs et al. in the teaching of Sakurai Hisae et al.. The motivation is to ensure the good lathering and softness on the skin.

Application/Control Number:

10/540,571 Art Unit: 1796

Regarding claim 2, Sakurai Hisae et al. teach the composition, wherein the anionic surfactants are alkyl ether sulfates, alkenyl ether sulfates, ether carboxylic acid and amide ether carboxylic acid [0007-0010].

Regarding claim 3, Sakurai Hisae et al. teach the composition, wherein the anionic surfactant is in an amount of from 1 to 50 percent by weight [0031], and trimethylglycine is from 0.1 to 20 percent by weight [0032].

Regarding claim 4, Sakurai Hisae et al. teach the composition further comprising amphoteric surfactants, cationic surfactants, and nonionic surfactants in an amount of from 0.5 to 30 percent by weight of the composition [0038 - 0040].

Regarding claims 5 - 6, Sakurai Hisae et al teach the composition is skin detergent composition, and can be used as a face wash [0041-0043].

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuyai N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-270-3294.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on 571-272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/540,571 Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 8, 2008

Patent Examiner Thuy - Ai N. Nguyen

> MARK EASHOO, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

18/ T 108